

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/888,656	06/26/2001	Atsushi Oohashi	Q64995	9112
•	7590 12/04/2002			
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS			EXAMINER	
2100 Pennsylv Washington, D	vania Avenue, N.W. OC 20037		GONZALEZ	Z, JULIO C
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 12/04/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- ··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_	4	
<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7
	09/888,656	OOHASHI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	Julio C. Gonzalez	2834	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover shee	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, ma  bly within the statutory minimum of  will apply and will expire SIX (6) it  c. cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the malling date of this communication. a ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u>	October 2002		
	his action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	vance except for formal or <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	matters, prosecution as to the merits is C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	S
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6-13</u> is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 June 2001</u> is/are: a	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ obje	cted to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	_		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)∏ approved b)[	disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in r			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)⊡ Some * c)⊡ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.	·	
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received	n Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	Sureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	n)).	
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application	on).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	rovisional application ha	s been received.	
Attachment(s)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ol>	5) Notic	iew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) :	

Application/Control Number: 09/888,656

Art Unit: 2834

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, line 3, what is comprising a plurality of conductors joint end, the core?

The winding? Also, "said conductors" lacks antecedent basis.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in-

(1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or

(2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Umeda et al (Patent No 6,124,660).

Application/Control Number: 09/888,656

Art Unit: 2834

Umeda et al discloses a stator for a generator (see figure 1), stator winding having a plurality of conductor joint end portions 61d (see figure 7) joined to each other and joined end portions comprise a molten metal 61e of a lower melting point that the conductors (column 8, lines 23-36).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Umeda et al in view of Baines.

Umeda et al discloses a stator for a generator (see figure 1), stator winding having a plurality of conductor joint end portions 61d (see figure 7) joined to each other and joined end portions comprise a molten metal 61e of a lower melting point that the conductors (column 8, lines 23-36).

However, Baines does not disclose using an alloy for a molten metal.

Application/Control Number: 09/888,656

Art Unit: 2834

On the other hand, Baines discloses for the purpose of making a connection of a lead wire to a motor contact that it is well known in the art to use copper or a copper alloy as a molten metal (column 1, lines 15-18).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design a stator as disclosed by Umeda et al and to modify the invention by using molten metal alloy for the purpose of making a connection of a lead wire to a motor contact as disclosed by Baines.

7. Claims 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Umeda et al and Baines as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of ordinary skill in the art.

The combined stator discloses all of the elements above. However, the combined stator does not disclose using the material for the additive metal.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the material use for the additive metal (Cu-P, Ag or Ag alloy, Sn or Sn alloy), since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In *re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

Page 5

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julio C. Gonzalez whose telephone number is (703) 305-1563. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8AM-5PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on (703) 308-1371. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Jcg

November 27, 2002